

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

lb-

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,085	10/02/2003	Yasuhiro Sekine	03500.017626.	7765
5514 75	90 08/10/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A	
	NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	euron -
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/676,085	SEKINE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel A. Gebremariam	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	<u>pril 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 October 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) .  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) . 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (P10-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/26/03.	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
LS Patent and Trademark Office						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 6-9 drawn to a semiconductor device is acknowledged.

## **Drawings**

- Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this
   Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.
- 3. Figures 8-13 should be designated by a legend such as —Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

## Specification

4. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear whether the overlapping amount of the adjacent color filters is the length or width.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 8. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aoki, US patent No. 6,630,722.

Regarding claim 6, Aoki teaches (fig. 1d) a solid state image pickup element comprising color filters of plural colors (51,50,52), wherein one of adjacent color filters (51, 52) is tapered at edges while the other color filter (50) is reversely tapered at the edges, allowing the adjacent color filters to fit to each other (notice that color filter 50 is reversely tapered).

Regarding claim 7, Aoki teaches the entire claimed structure of claim 6 above including adjacent color filters are wedged at edges (refer to fig. 1d), allowing the adjacent color filters to fit to each other (refer to fig. 1d).

Regarding claim 8, Aoki teaches the entire claimed structure of claim 6 above including at least one of the adjacent color filters is formed in corners of the adjacent color filters (take color filter 50, if formed at the corners of 51 and 52).

Application/Control Number: 10/676,085 Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki.

Aoki teaches substantially the entire claimed structure of claims 6 and 7 above except explicitly stating that an overlapping amount of the adjacent color filters is 0.1  $\mu m$  or more.

Furthermore parameters such as overlapping width or thickness in the art of semiconductor manufacturing process are subject to routine experimentation and optimization to achieve the desired device quality during fabrication.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the overlapping amount of the adjacent color filter as claimed in order to insure continuity between adjacent the color filters (refer to the abstract).

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference B is cited as being related to imaging device.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A Gebremariam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1653. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Steven Loke can be reached on (571) 272-1657. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAG August 7, 2005 Stoven Loke Primary Exeminar Stoven Sofe